

## SUMMARY

# **ECJ 22 January 2026, Case C-633/24 (Sovisso), Social insurance**

***F.F. - v- Instituto nazionale della previdenza sociale (INPS), Italian case***

### **Summary**

Equal treatment. Migrant workers should be granted the invalidity allowance supplement in order to reach the statutory minimum under the same conditions as those applicable to non-migrant workers.

### **Question**

Must Article 58 of Regulation No 883/2004, read in conjunction with Articles 4 and 6 of that regulation, be interpreted as precluding legislation of a Member State under which the payment of a supplement intended to guarantee receipt of the minimum amount of an invalidity allowance is subject, for insured persons who paid contributions in other Member States, to a ten-year contribution period in that Member State whereas, for those who paid contributions exclusively in that Member State, payment of the supplement in question is subject to a contribution period of five years in that country, three of which must have been in the preceding five years?

### **Ruling**

Article 58 of Regulation No 883/2004, read in conjunction with Articles 4 and 6 of that regulation, must be interpreted as precluding the legislation of a Member State under which the payment of a supplement intended to guarantee receipt of the minimum amount of an invalidity allowance is subject, for insured persons who paid contributions in other Member States, to a ten-year contribution period in that Member State whereas, for those who paid contributions exclusively in that Member State, payment of that supplement is subject to a contribution period of five years in that country, three of which must have been in the

preceding five years.

---

**Creator:** European Court of Justice (ECJ)

**Verdict at:** 2026-01-22

**Case number:** C-633/24